

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/529,004 03/24/2005 | | Yasuo Nishi | KOY-0047 | 8791 | |
| 23413 75 | 590 12/06/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH | | | BOECKMANN, JASON J | | |
| BLOOMFIELD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3752 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Ŋν |
|----|
|----|

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/529,004 | NISHI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Jason J. Boeckmann | 3752 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/329,004 | NISHI ET AL. | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jason J. Boeckmann | 3752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| | | - | | | | |
| HE REPLY FILED 21 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH '06.07(f). | ig date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermal a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | TE below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | , ootou olamio. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | • | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ut hatain ar on the data of filing a N | Inting of Annual will no | et be entered | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | hed. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | 1/20 18 | // | | | |
| 13. | | MEVIN SHAVIOR | lave | | | |
| | SUPE | MYSORY PATENT FX | AMINER | | | |
| · | TE | CHNOLOGY CENTER | 3700 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final office action mailed on 9/21/2006.

KEVIN SHAVER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700